

How can AI truly support educators without adding to their burden?

What the Research Says on Generative AI's Impact on Teacher Workload

Research across global educational contexts confirms that generative AI has the potential to ease teacher workload but it does not eliminate the need for human oversight, adaptation, and professional learning.

1. The ISTE Whitepaper (2024) notes that more than half of educators have used generative AI to streamline lesson planning, with two-thirds believing AI integration will significantly benefit teaching. However, it also emphasizes the ongoing need to address equity, transparency, and curriculum alignment.
2. A study from UC Irvine (2023) compared ChatGPT to human evaluators in providing formative feedback on student writing. Results showed the AI performed nearly on par with humans in areas like clarity and tone, suggesting potential for scalable feedback support, particularly for writing instruction.
3. In a field study by Harvard, Wharton, and MIT researchers, educators were found to benefit from generative AI most when tasks aligned with AI's capabilities (e.g., summarization, ideation). However, teachers at the "jagged frontier" of generative AI use still faced the burden of reviewing and adapting AI output for quality and relevance.

copyright jodybritten, 2025

This work is licensed under creative commons attribution - non-commercial - sharealike - 4.0 International deed. Learn more at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

- According to the Chegg.org Global Student Survey (2023), 47% of students using generative AI were concerned about receiving inaccurate information, and 55% expressed a desire for continued human expertise. This echoes the need for educators to remain in-the-loop as quality gatekeepers.
- Multiple reports, including those by CDT (2024) and IEEE (2024), underscore that without proper training in ethical AI use, tools risk exacerbating inequality, eroding trust, or compromising student privacy. Teachers require clear guidelines and institutional support to integrate AI responsibly.

Ways Generative AI Reduces Teacher Workload

- Generative AI can rapidly generate lesson materials, quizzes, and differentiated content tailored to diverse learner profiles. Tools like ChatGPT, for example, help teachers brainstorm ideas, develop project rubrics, and scaffold tasks across subjects. However, very carefully crafted AI systems like those developed by Oak National Academy's Aila are showing clear benefits and higher quality.
- AI tools like ChatGPT have shown strong potential for providing formative feedback. A UC Irvine study found ChatGPT's feedback on student writing was comparable in quality to human feedback in several dimensions, including clarity and tone. AI-assisted automated grading of short answers and essays can reduce turnaround time and increase feedback frequency.
- AI can streamline time-consuming duties such as email writing, translation of parent communication, and generating progress reports, freeing educators to focus more on teaching.

New Demands and Risks Introduced by Generative AI

- Teachers must review and adapt AI-generated outputs to ensure accuracy, cultural relevance, and alignment with curriculum goals. Inaccuracies in Generative AI output remain a known issue. This review time can offset time savings, especially in early stages of use.
- If only some schools or teachers can access or are trained in these tools, it may widen digital divides. Professional development (PD) and infrastructure investment are needed for equitable benefit realization.
- Generative AI use raises concerns around data privacy, surveillance, and bias in content. Teachers must learn new AI literacy and data stewardship practices to safely and ethically use these tools.

Mixed Impact on Workload: “Jagged Frontier” Concept

A Harvard/Wharton study describes a “jagged technological frontier,” where some tasks (e.g., email drafting) are significantly boosted by Generative AI, while others (e.g., nuanced lesson differentiation) require deep human input. This uneven benefit curve means workload relief is context-dependent.

So What’s the Answer?

Yes, generative AI can reduce teacher workload (especially in repetitive, low-cognitive-load tasks like initial planning and feedback) but it also introduces new tasks in oversight, adaptation, and ethics. The net effect depends on training, tool quality, and institutional support.

